



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,823	07/03/2003	Mustafa Eroz	PD-203016 6879	
75	90 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER
Hughes Electronics Corporation			LAMARRE, GUY J	
Patent Docket A				
Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 956			2133	
El Segundo, CA 90245-0956			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/613,823	EROZ ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Guy J. Lamarre	2133		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOWHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-33</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
· a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02-27-2004 ,05-03-</u> 04\$ 9 7 7 0 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,823 Page 1 of 3

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

* The Examiner has considered the Applicants' IDS of 02-27 & 05-03-2004 and 09-07-2005.

* Pursuant to 35 USC 131, Claims 1-33 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

0. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

0.1 Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming nonstatutory subject matter because medium can also be of transmission type as per page 47 para. 103 of Applicants' specification.

Double Patenting (non-statutory)

1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438,164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 3 7 CFR 1. 3 2 1 (b) and may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1.1 Instant claimed invention is not patentably distinct from patented claimed invention of USPN 6963622 although the conflicting claims are not identical.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,823 Page 2 of 3

Art Unit: 2133

1.1.1 For example: patented Claim(s) 16 contain(s) every element of instant claim(s) 1 because the triangular matrix arrangement is equivalent to information organized in tabular form, and as such anticipate(s) claim(s) 1 of instant application.

- 1.1.2 "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).
- 1.2 This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2.1 Claims 1-10, 13-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Echard et al. ('The Π-Rotation Low-Density Parity Check Codes,' IEEE, 29 Nov. 2001) of IDS of 05-03-2004.

As per Claims 1-10, 13-33, Echard et al. discloses equivalent procedure for generating LDPC codes by arranging low density parity check matrix in triangular form or diagonal pattern wherein, e.g., Equation 1 depicts occurrences of one values are set as Applicant's embodiment of Fig. 6.

Echard et al. discloses equivalent cyclic shifting approach on page 692: cols. 1-2 last para. and page 693 para. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,823 Page 3 of 3

Art Unit: 2133

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

CONCLUSION

* Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (571) 273-8300 for all formal communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3826. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert

De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3609.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E Primary Examiner

4/16/2006